◆AO 247 (02/08) Order Regarding Motion for Sentence Reduction

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

Western District of Pennsylvania	
	Case No: CR 94-17 Erie USM No: 52075-060
Date of Previous Judgment: 11/01/1994)	Cheryl Sturm, Esquire Defendant's Attorney
Order Regarding Motion for Sentence Reduction Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(2)	
Upon motion of \checkmark the defendant \bigcirc the Director of § 3582(c)(2) for a reduction in the term of imprisonment impossubsequently been lowered and made retroactive by the United § 994(u), and having considered such motion,	sed based on a guideline sentencing range that has
IT IS ORDERED that the motion is: ☐ DENIED. ☐ GRANTED and the defendant's protection the last judgment issued) of	eviously imposed sentence of imprisonment (as reflected in months is reduced to 324 months
I. COURT DETERMINATION OF GUIDELINE RANGE Previous Offense Level: Criminal History Category: Previous Guideline Range: 360 to Life months II. SENTENCE RELATIVE TO AMENDED GUIDELINE The reduced sentence is within the amended guideline range The previous term of imprisonment imposed was less than to f sentencing as a result of a departure or Rule 35 reduction amended guideline range. Other (explain): III. ADDITIONAL COMMENTS	Amended Offense Level: 38 Criminal History Category: IV Amended Guideline Range: 324 to 405 months E RANGE a. he guideline range applicable to the defendant at the time
Except as provided above, all provisions of the judgment dated IT IS SO ORDERED. Order Date: 7/7/08 Effective Date: 7/7/08 (if different from order date)	11/01/1994 shall remain in effect. Maurice B. Cohill, Jr, U.S. District Judge Printed name and title